Choreograph (v.): bodies in time and space
Choreograph (v.): act of arranging relations between bodies in time and space
Choreography (v.): act of framing relations between bodies .......... 'a way of seeing the world'
Choreography (n.): result of any of these actions
Choreography (n.): a dynamic constellation of any kind, consciously created or not, self-organising or superimposed.
Choreography (n.): order observed..., exchange of forces..., a process that has an observable or observed embodied order
Choreograph (v.): act of witnessing such an order
Choreography (v.): act of interfering with or negotiating such an order
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Introduction

Introducing systems theory and cybernetic knowledge to the creative act of ordering, the process as well as the resulting work are transformed, shifting the notion of choreography towards a form of art that not only deals with the creation and manipulation of systems of rules, but does so in a non-deterministic, open way. Choreography as the arrangement of movement in time and space is opening a discourse on order and movement. What is order? How is it achieved? What is movement? The (human) body as such is not necessarily the focus of such choreographic inquiry. System theory, cybernetics, information theory, energy flow and mind dynamics, such as outlined by Gregory Bateson, become relevant and indispensable fields for choreographic theory and practice. The term’s open denotation has also led choreography to be considered as a metaphor for dynamic constellations of any kind, consciously created or not, self-organising or superimposed. It can become a metaphor for order observed in biological systems, for exchange of forces in the world of physics and the interaction of elements in the world of chemistry; a metaphor for a process with an observable or observed embodied order, no longer exclusively in need of a human creator, existing only for us to witness and/or interfere with. Choreography is emerging as a way of seeing the world; a world full of interaction, relationships, constellations, dependencies, arrangements, and proportionalities.

‘At this order (…), conversations, human sexuality, family dinners, and international conflict are organized according to the rules of choreography that govern (i.e., pattern) their interactional themes.’ (Keeney 1983)

Choreographic Practice

My work as an artist has focused on a paradigm shift in thinking about choreography by redefining it as an emerging aesthetics concerned with the workings and governance of patterns, dynamics and
ecologies. Choreography has been proposed as a ‘pattern language’, an emerging, autonomous aesthetic discipline, the findings of which can be applied to other spheres of human endeavours, such as the social realm. For the past ten years fellow artists [1] and myself have been working towards the formulation of this ‘aesthetics of change’. During this period we have considerably redeveloped the conventional concepts of choreography, opening up the discipline to other fields of human knowledge. Integral to this development have been the writings of Gregory Bateson, or more over, his way of seeing the world. Bateson’s ideas, coupled with developments in contemporary art, present a fundamental shift in thinking about choreography: away from the act of structuring and arranging information in time and space, superimposing order onto a seemingly inactive and passive world, towards a recognition of interconnectedness: the creative act of setting the conditions for things to happen, the choreographer as the navigator, negotiator and architect of a fluid environment that he/she himself/herself is part of. I suggest that Bateson’s descriptions, insights and readings of nature form the basis for choreography to emerge as an aesthetics of change. It is difficult to trace or pinpoint Bateson’s influence on this process, but his ideas have constantly been inspiring and challenging, providing long-lost bridges between the worlds of numbers, straight lines, cause-and-effect and the worlds of poetry, dreams, quantities and recursivity, thereby manifesting a more substantive and richer world of patterns. Reading Bateson harnesses a new way of thinking, and although the processes of research and development in our field will not always relate to Bateson’s ideas directly, the underlying patterns of thought are very similar. Once absorbed his timeless and thorough interpretation of nature effortlessly destabilises established frameworks running throughout human civilisation. His observations expose exploitative world-views, ignorance and compartmentalised linear thinking - much of which human creation is based upon. Once understanding Bateson, one can no longer speak of discreet realities, as reality is woven through and in between different speech and in between different modalities of presentation. Bateson’s forms of double-description make apparent a reality that cannot be spoken about directly. His work lays bare a world of unfathomable complexity, a reality of relations not to be captured in the logic of language.

Traditional approaches to choreography are based on the idea of dance being 'the use of energy in space and time' (Ellfeldt 1974), suggesting that choreography is the arrangement of this energy in space and time. My work as a choreographer started out by subscribing to dominant ordering procedures, whereby A is followed by B, B by C, etc. Each work has a distinct beginning, middle and end, and all movement is (relatively) fixed within space and time. The way our society has choreographed dance has always been reflective of the larger phenomena of how we, as a society, deal with the unknown, the unframeable, the spiritual and the animal. Conventional choreography imposes rigid frames upon dance. It is the embodiment of cultural suppression of that what is not to be governed by subjective and collective will.

In the late 90’s I became dissatisfied with the fixed nature of my work and I followed various leads, including Bateson’s, to establish choreographic procedures of active ordering and steering that would be closer to the way nature works. ‘Duplex’ – a pas des deux for Ballet Frankfurt [2] was created for dancers to play out a duet every time anew. A pas de Deux, that with the help of a computer software
allowed to maintain its movement-proportionalities in terms of its compositional structure. I aimed to loosen up rigid compositional structures (such like a Pas de Deux), whilst maintaining a specific, overall Gestalt or form. The central question that arose was how to keep this overall Gestalt whilst keeping the substance, or the narrative of the piece - even in its abstract nature - quite fluid. ‘Duplex’ tried to preserve immediacy and the moment of creation while at the same time providing a structural skeleton of relations for the whole piece not to fall apart. The dancers took instructions from screens around the stage that constantly provided them with information to be translated into movement. The script was running past them like a music score. It took about a year to get comfortable with this procedure but at the point of the premiere the reading-off and integration into performance was rather effortless. The complex and problematic elements were the lifts and physical contacts between the dancers because it required them to read it off and interpret the information the same way, otherwise it would cause confusion or create a certain conflict. It soon became apparent that these moments were actually the very interesting elements of ‘Duplex’. In this work the dancers had to continuously be in the moment, forming strategies in regards to the other and in regards to exact timing and spacing; all of which required an active, present mind. Over and over situations arose that caused conflicts. These circumstances helped to developed little stories within the piece that were not preconceived and very much emerged in the moment. The work became most interesting when the dancers adapted the movement material to their own needs. ‘Duplex’ allowed very personal elements to arise; the performers weren’t just ‘dancers’ in a conventional sense but ‘real people’, living their lives on stage, and because of the compositional methods applied, these elements became very vivid. Since then I am much more focused on collaborating with dancers as artists rather than working with ‘bodies’. Conventional approaches to choreography often utilise dancers to create pattern that are not directly relevant to the individual (the dancer) forming the pattern. As I am aiming to work with the whole person all the movement material within a work is generated out of his/her own processes such as his/her memory and his/her ability to learn and to forget. The final choreographies cannot be rehearsed because all processes of learning and creation are encoded within the choreographic (compositional) structure of the piece. Therefore, the piece, once it is set, can only be run once or twice a day, till it reaches a critical state through the various processes of individual learning and integration. At some stage an overall compositional Gestalt arises that is stable enough to be performed as ‘a piece’ in front of people. The challenge in such work is to work with the individuals as an artist, to bring their memories, experiences, physical knowledge, moods etc into the creative process, giving space for such processes to be recalled and developed within the work. The choreographic framing has to happen for the whole individual – including their thoughts and memories. This leads to issues of ‘steering’ and group-dynamics as the act of choreography takes political dimensions. The dancers are no longer ‘employed to perform’, but they are taking part in ‘living on stage’, negotiating their personal freedom and subjective reality within a larger group. The choreographer is no longer concerned with the creation of particular patterns or instances, but is providing conditions for things to happen. To remove the stage from this equation is really a small step from this particular approach, and choreography can be utilised as the creative act of composing fluid architectures of mental frames for living. The term ‘social choreography’ has been emerging out of this work to replace the concept of ‘social
engineering’, moving the notion of steering and ordering a larger system away from mechanical thinking into the realm of creativity and aesthetics.
Perception And The Subversive Act Of Ordering

Creation and perception are tightly entangled. Ananda Coomaraswamy wrote that ‘art is to imitate nature in its manner of operation.’ (Coomaraswamy 1934), suggesting that the artist utilises processes deductible from a reality as perceived by the subject to formulate structural methodologies, rather than simply imitating nature’s appearance. Compositional/creational tools are ‘learned’ dynamics and processes, with some tools – such as repetition - having their basis in an observed biological world. The simple structural tool of ‘repetition’ as commonly used by composers and choreographers, is deeply embodied in the repetitive cycles of day and night, ebb and flow and the calendar’s seasons. It is from our environment that we deduct our structural processes to employ them for our own means, whether to write music, choreograph dances or build nations. I am inclined to extend this statement to all forms of artificial human creation, hence from the construction of artefacts to the creations of tools, companies, contracts and conditions for the creators and their families to live-in. All perceived patterns that have been assimilated into our knowledge are recursively connected to all the patterns we have at our disposal for any conscious act of creation. It often seems that we are limited foremost not by imagination, but by perception and the lack of ability to integrate the perceived into our thought processes. Personally, I believe that the way we organise our pots and pans has a direct implication on the way we organise our children and our relationships in general. However, it is hardly the pots that determine the order of our world directly, but a deeper, imprinted unconscious order, which governs humanity, society and the individual.

A crude reading of nature (hierarchical, compartmentalised-thinking, etc.) leads to a limited repertoire of patterns from which to create conditions for living, as people are set in, and by one another, in certain relations. The resulting creations, the artificial organisational constructs, are recursively validating each other, creating subtle balances - the very assumptions on which our collective reality is built upon. As long as in one’s perception of reality cause and effect is tightly linked and easily separable from its context, the only viable option for building physical and mental structures is to follow a linear path, whereby a substance of some sort is fixed within a compositional structure of beginning, middle and end. The resulting assumptions in turn form gaps and holes in the rhizome of relations, a kind of negative space that forms a mould for other structures to fill, thereby creating attractors or force fields in the fabric of relations. These once over cause ideas to develop into certain structural/relational patterns or shapes which recursively form the fluid matrix of life. Artificial constructs and creations are intertwined with organisational dynamics not part of conscious creation but bound into much wider processes of self-organisation, emergence, learning and evolution. To what degree human creation is no more than a myth subject to much larger forces at play remains unanswered. However, a notion of change subject to human consciousness clearly remains in one’s experience. To change the way things are done – the way things are – one must thrust a deeply subversive act into the existing language of patterning, introducing a new structural vocabulary to the fabric of relations. By utilising new compositional and organisational procedures, some of which might remain non-verbalised, the very assumption of reality is questioned in the larger system of artificial
creation as the “idea-moulds” of how things are will change – and once more, a slightly re-configured reality might emerge through a recursive process carried through the larger system. Just like a virus can affect the whole system through the system’s ability to adjust to a newly found internal challenge, so new ways of patterning can and will generate major change in the overall system. However, newly discovered patterning procedures can only be found within the larger framework of which one is a part. This awareness should evoke a renaissance in the examination of the fundamental forces at work in nature, harnessing these forces by abstraction, adjusting and refining them, thereby introducing new elements, as well as changing the collective repertoire of ordering, structuring and hence creation.

Bateson’s Manner

As outlined above, ‘(…) to imitate nature in its manner of operation’ (Coomaraswamy 1934), one has to cultivate a sensibility for exactly what this manner is. Gregory Bateson was able to harness a deeply developed sensibility for the interconnectedness and interdependence of living systems thereby enabling new ways of structuring, ordering and creating to emerge.

‘One of the interesting things that happens if you look at your hand and consider it not as a number of bananas at the end of a sort of a flexible stick but as a nest of relations out there (…) you will find that the object looks much prettier than you thought it looked. A part of the discovery of the beauty of the biological form is the discovery that in fact it is put together of relations and not put together of parts. This means with a correction of our epistemology you might find the world was a great deal more beautiful than you thought that it was. Or might let in that fact of its being beauty, in a way that you were able of keeping it out by thinking that the world was made up of parts and wholes. {…} Relations between relations and relations between relations’ relations. (sic.) (Bateson, G., audio recording, 1979)

To live harmoniously within an ecological system, one must strive to perceive the deep structural processes from one’s environment, harvest them, integrate and digest them, to make them part of one’s mental processes and furthermore to apply them as structural tools in one’s personal creations. Bateson’s notion of ‘mind’ provides a foundation for the perception of a world rich in patterns, of a choreographic fabric of life, of a world, which thinks and dances. Rather than looking at mind and matter as discreet substances, Bateson discusses ‘mind’ according to a particular organisational process: the arrangement of matter. Patterns of organisation and relational symmetry evident in all living systems are indicative of this particular understanding of ‘mind’. In Bateson’s view, all of the following criteria have to be satisfied before a system can display phenomena like thought, evolution, life, and learning; phenomena which are part of open or living systems.

1) A mind is an aggregate of interacting parts or components
2) The interaction between parts of mind is triggered by difference, and difference is a non-substantial phenomenon not located in space or time; difference is related to negentropy and entropy rather than to energy
3) Mental process requires collateral energy
4) Mental process requires circular (or more complex) chains of determination.
5) In mental process, the effects of difference are to be regarded as transforms (i.e., coded versions) of events which preceded them. The rules of such transformation must be comparatively stable (i.e. more stable than the content) but are themselves subject to transformation.
6) The description and classification of the processes of transformation disclose a hierarchy of logical types immanent in the phenomena. (Bateson 2002)

These six points provide the foundation upon which an entirely new aesthetics can be built. They form a simple, but precise description, of how life holds together, forms bodies, ideas, even social systems. Bateson’s thought manifests an awareness of a new reality, whereby a choreographer’s act of creation can no longer blindly accept the boundaries of tradition and habit, but must instead, pursue patterns of thought in which relations form a mind. He or she must show a healthy disregard for distinctions generated by conventional modes of human thought and be prepared to re-organise reality around the manner in which nature works, in the form of “ecologies of mind”. Bateson’s world, once assimilated, shakes existing boundaries, distinctions, hierarchies, habits, and ordering principles to the core. If we as human beings could manage to somehow integrate such sensitive knowledge into our work and life, new, more suitable patterns of living and consuming would emerge. Being closer to the way nature works, these new patterns would create less potential for conflict with the environment and most likely extend “the wave” called humanity.

Summary

Choreography has become a metaphor for dynamic constellations of any kind, consciously choreographed or not, self-organising or artificially constructed. It has become a metaphor for order, intrinsically embodied by self-organising systems as observed in the biological world or superimposed by a human creator. If the world is approached as a reality constructed of interactions, relationships, constellations and proportionalities and choreography is seen as the aesthetic practice of setting those relations – or setting the conditions for those relations to emerge – choreographic knowledge gained in the field of dance or harvested from perceived patterns in nature should be transferable to other realms of life. The choreographer, at the centre of his art, deals with patterns and frameworks within the context of an existing, larger, ongoing choreography of physical, mental, and social structures. As an aesthetics - a sensitive knowing – of change, the discipline of choreography can be applied to enquire into the dance of life, effortlessly merging observation, theoretical writing and philosophy with practical rigor and personal expression to create works of art. The stage becomes a laboratory, the laboratory a stage for the governing and steering of existing mind-dynamics and processes, whether physically expressed (such as the body or a flower) or not (such as evolution or learning). Applying the aesthetics of choreography as a purposeful, creative and proactive tool upon the surface of consciousness, proves a healthy disregard to virtual boundaries of human knowledge production which have arisen through habit or otherwise, transgressing through realms known as sociology, philosophy, psychology, religion, biology and history. This approach engages everyone’s perception and knowledge of ‘how things move’, inquiring if and how individuals can imaginatively order and reorder aspects of their personal, social, cultural and political life. It examines the role of the choreographer as possible agent of change within an ever-changing environment.
Afterthought

Last year as I sat and prepared for a symposium on Choreography as an Aesthetics of Change, I tried to formulate a worldview that I had been thinking about. How everything is connected and organised according to certain patterns, patterns that constitute a mind ... just as Bateson had described it. It became clear that to find sanity in this universal mind we first and foremost need to find an ecology within. Once we have developed a sensibility for all of that, we ought to find steps to such an ecology of mind - I was convinced I had finally figured it all out whilst my eyes drifted to my left, focusing in on one of Bateson’s books (Steps to an Ecology of Mind), recognising that I finally (might have) understood the title.
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Footnotes

[1] The core of this research has been taking place at Daghdha Dance Company (Limerick, from 2003 onwards), Ballett Frankfurt and Barriedale Operahouse (London, 1994-2000). Artists involved in the formulation of choreography as an aesthetics of change include Jeffrey Gormly, Michael Klien, Nicholas Mortimore, Davide Terlingo and Steve Valk amongst others.
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